

**THE VALIDITY OF CLINICAL TESTS FOR
CRANIOVERTEBRAL INSTABILITY**

PETER GRANT OSMOTHERLY

B.Sc, Grad Dip Phty, MMedSc (Clinical Epidemiology)

Thesis presented for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

The University of Newcastle

May 2013

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

The thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan or photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Signed _____

Date _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	i
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
ABSTRACT	xxi
PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM THE WORK IN THIS THESIS	xxiv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xxxix
 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	 1
1.1 Convention (consensual validation)	2
1.2 Biological basis.....	3
1.3 Empirical proof	3
1.4 Structure of this thesis	4
 CHAPTER 2: WHAT IS CRANIOVERTEBRAL INSTABILITY?	 7
2.1 Concepts of spinal stability and instability.....	7
2.2 The pathogenesis of craniovertebral instability.....	14
2.2.1 Congenital causes.....	14
<i>Developmental anatomy of the craniovertebral region.....</i>	 14
<i>Anomalies of the craniovertebral region.....</i>	16

2.2.2	Inflammatory causes.....	20
2.2.3	Traumatic causes.....	29
	<i>Fractures associated with craniovertebral instability.....</i>	<i>29</i>
	<i>Ligament lesions in the absence of fracture.....</i>	<i>40</i>
	<i>Considerations in paediatric trauma.....</i>	<i>50</i>
2.3	Incidence and prevalence of craniovertebral instability.....	55
2.3.1	Prevalence of craniovertebral instability associated with congenital conditions.....	55
2.3.2	Prevalence of craniovertebral instability in rheumatoid arthritis patients.....	57
2.3.3	Incidence and prevalence of craniovertebral instability associated with trauma.....	60
2.4	Clinical presentation of craniovertebral instability.....	61

CHAPTER 3:	THE KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF CRANIOVERTEBRAL INSTABILITY TESTING BY AUSTRALIAN PHYSIOTHERAPISTS	70
3.1	Introduction and aims	70
3.2	Methods.....	72
3.2.1	Ethical approval	72
3.2.2	Study sample	72
3.2.3	Study design.....	73

3.2.4	Survey instrument	73
3.2.5	Statistical analysis	75
3.3	Results of the survey	75
3.3.1	Response rate	75
3.3.2	Respondents	75
3.3.3	Defining craniovertebral instability	80
3.3.4	Detection of craniovertebral instability	81
3.3.5	Recognition and use of clinical stress tests	84
3.3.6	Attitudes toward testing, recommendations and guidelines	86
3.4	Discussion of survey results	89
3.5	Conclusions drawn from the survey	95
3.6	Implications for the validity of craniovertebral ligament testing	96
CHAPTER 4:	LITERATURE REVIEW OF THE GROSS ANATOMY AND HISTOLOGY OF THE CRANIOVERTEBRAL LIGAMENTS	99
4.1	Tectorial membrane	101
4.2	Alar ligaments	108
4.3	Cruciform ligaments	113
4.3.1	Transverse ligament	113
4.3.2	Ascending and descending cruciform ligaments ..	117
4.4	Other ligaments in the craniovertebral complex	119
4.4.1	Apical ligament	119

4.4.2	Atlanto-occipital ligaments	119
	<i>Anterior atlanto-occipital ligament</i>	120
	<i>Posterior atlanto-occipital ligament</i>	121
4.4.3	Accessory atlanto-axial ligaments	121
4.4.4	Anterior atlanto-dental ligament	122

CHAPTER 5:	DESCRIPTIVE ANATOMY OF THE LIGAMENTS OF THE CRANIOVERTEBRAL REGION.....	123
5.1	Introduction and aims	123
5.2	Dissection material	124
	5.2.1 Cadaveric material	124
	5.2.2 Other materials.....	124
5.3	Dissection methods	126
5.4	Results of the anatomical study	128
	5.4.1 Tectorial membrane	128
	5.4.2 Transverse, ascending and descending portions or the cruciform ligament	137
	5.4.3 Alar ligaments	141
5.5	Discussion of the results of the anatomical study	147
	5.5.1 Tectorial membrane	147
	5.5.2 Transverse, ascending and descending portions or the cruciform ligament	152
	5.5.3 Alar ligaments	155
5.6	Implications for the validity of clinical stress testing	158

	5.6.1 Tectorial membrane	158
	5.6.2 Cruciform and transverse ligaments	159
	5.6.3 Alar ligaments	159
CHAPTER 6:	RADIOLOGICAL ANATOMY OF THE CRANIOVERTEBRAL LIGAMENTS	162
6.1	General radiographic approach.....	162
6.2	Imaging of the alar ligaments	164
6.2.1	Conventional radiography	164
6.2.2	Computed tomography (CT)	165
6.2.3	Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)	166
6.3	Imaging of the transverse ligament of the atlas	177
6.3.1	Conventional radiography	177
6.3.2	Computed tomography	178
6.3.3	Magnetic resonance imaging	179
6.4	Imaging of the tectorial membrane.....	181
6.4.1	Computed tomography	181
6.4.2	Magnetic resonance imaging	181
CHAPTER 7:	AN EXAMINATION OF THE DEEP CRANIOVERTEBRAL LIGAMENTS USING HIGH RESOLUTION AND CLINICAL RESOLUTION MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING	183
7.1	Introduction and aims	183
7.2	Methods	186

7.2.1	Cadaveric material	186
7.2.2	Preparation of cadaveric material	186
7.2.3	Imaging of specimens	187
7.2.4	Analysis of quantitative morphometric data	190
7.2.5	Confirmatory dissection	190
7.3	Results	193
7.3.1	Study specimens	193
7.3.2	High resolution imaging – 4.6-Tesla	193
	<i>Alar ligaments</i>	193
	<i>Ascending and descending cruciform ligaments..</i>	207
	<i>Transverse ligament</i>	207
	<i>Tectorial membrane</i>	213
7.3.3	Clinical resolution imaging – 3-Tesla	217
	<i>Alar ligaments</i>	217
	<i>Transverse and cruciform ligaments</i>	227
	<i>Tectorial membrane</i>	237
7.3.4	Consistency of measured anatomical features	242
7.2.5	Dissection of imaged specimens.....	245
7.4	Discussion	250
7.4.1	Comparison of the findings of high resolution imaging of the craniocervical ligaments with their macrostructure demonstrated during fine dissection	250
7.4.2	Clinical resolution imaging.....	256

	<i>Assessed clarity of images</i>	256
	<i>Comparison of ligament structure between acquisition sequences</i>	260
7.4.3	Implications for the validity of clinical stress testing.....	263
7.4.4	Implications for further studies assessing the validity of clinical stress tests of the craniovertebral ligaments using MRI	265
CHAPTER 8:	BIOMECHANICS OF THE CRANIOVERTEBRAL SEGMENTS IN RELATION TO LIGAMENTOUS FUNCTION	268
8.1	Descriptions of occipito-atlanto-axial biomechanics in published literature	268
8.1.1	Occipito-atlantal movement	268
8.1.2	Atlanto-axial movement	275
8.1.3	Coupling during occipito-atlanto-axial motion	279
	<i>Coupling of movement between lateral flexion and axial rotation</i>	279
	<i>Coupling of movements between axial rotation and movements in the sagittal plane</i>	283
8.2	Transverse ligament related biomechanics	283
8.3	Alar ligament related biomechanics	286
8.3.1	Side-bending stress test for the alar ligaments	288
8.3.2	Rotation stress test for the alar ligaments	293
8.3.3	The alar ligaments in craniocervical flexion	301
8.4	Tectorial membrane related biomechanics	303

CHAPTER 9:	LITERATURE REVIEW OF THE CLINICAL TESTS FOR CRANIOVERTEBRAL INSTABILITY	309
9.1	Tests for the transverse ligament	309
9.1.1	Sharp-Purser test	309
9.1.2	Anterior shear test	315
9.1.3	Palate sign	318
9.1.4	“Clunk” test	320
9.1.5	Posterior-anterior glide of the axis	320
9.2	Tests for the alar ligaments	321
9.2.1	Side-bending stress test	321
9.2.2	Rotation stress test	324
9.2.3	Passive intrevebral movement – occipito-atlanto-axial rotation	326
9.2.4	Lateral translation stress test	328
9.3	Tests for the tectorial membrane	331
9.3.1	Distraction test	331
9.3.2	Distraction in upper cervical flexion	332
9.3.3	Upper cervical flexion test	333
9.3.4	Ventral horizontal translation between occiput-atlas-axis	334
CHAPTER 10:	CLINICAL EXAMINATION OF SELECTED CRANIOVERTEBRAL INSTABILITY STRESS TESTS USING MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING	336
10.1	Introduction and aims	336

10.2	Methods	338
10.2.1	Approval	338
10.2.2	Study participants	338
10.2.3	Clinical stress tests examined	339
10.2.4	Imaging of participants	339
10.2.5	Analysis of MRI images	342
	<i>Method of standardising reference position</i>	<i>342</i>
	<i>Assessment of image quality</i>	<i>343</i>
	<i>Methods of measurement for each ligament test position</i>	<i>343</i>
10.2.6	Statistical analysis	351
	<i>Analysis of alar ligament testing</i>	<i>351</i>
	<i>Analysis of anterior shear testing for the transverse ligament</i>	<i>352</i>
	<i>Analysis of distraction testing for the tectorial membrane</i>	<i>352</i>
	<i>Estimates of reliability</i>	<i>352</i>
10.3	Results	353
10.3.1	Characteristics of participants	353
10.3.2	Alar ligament stress tests	353
	<i>Bony estimation: tip of odontoid process to the foramen magnum</i>	<i>354</i>
	<i>Direct measurement of alar ligament length</i>	<i>355</i>
10.3.3	Anterior shear test for the transverse ligament ...	356
	<i>Atlantodental interval</i>	<i>357</i>

	<i>Horizontal plane measurement</i>	357
10.3.4	Distraction test for the tectorial membrane	357
	<i>Basion-dental interval</i>	358
	<i>Direct measurement of tectorial membrane length</i>	358
10.4	Discussion of the results of ligament testing	360
10.4.1	Alar ligament stress tests	361
10.4.2	Anterior shear test for the transverse ligament ...	364
10.4.3	Distraction test for the tectorial membrane	365
10.5	Conclusion	366
CHAPTER 11:	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	368
REFERENCES		376
APPENDIX A	JOURNAL PUBLICATIONS RELATED TO WORK PRESENTED IN THIS THESIS	399
APPENDIX B	ETHICS APPROVALS FOR STUDIES CONDUCTED AT THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE	426
APPENDIX C	QUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPED FOR THE SURVEY “THE KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF CRANIOVERTEBRAL INSTABILITY TESTING BY AUSTRALIAN PHYSIOTHERAPISTS”	432

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Functioning of the spinal stability system	11
Figure 2.2	Dysfunction of the spinal stability system.....	12
Figure 2.3	Types of occipital condyle fracture	31
Figure 2.4	Classification of odontoid fractures.....	37
Figure 2.5	A “cock robin” torticollis suggested to be indicative of atlantoaxial instability	65
Figure 3.1	Instability testing in the presence of disorders prone to craniovertebral instability	83
Figure 3.2	Response to the question “When should CVI tests be used in clinical practice?”	87
Figure 4.1	Differing representations of the tectorial membrane in anatomical texts	104
Figure 5.1	Exposed tectorial membrane ready for fine dissection following preparation of the specimen	127
Figure 5.2a	The superficial layer of the tectorial membrane.....	129
Figure 5.2b	The division of bands of the superficial layer of the tectorial membrane	129
Figure 5.3	Three bands of the deep layer of the tectorial membrane.....	131
Figure 5.4	The three bands of the deep layer of the tectorial membrane outlined	133
Figure 5.5	Lateral bands of the deep layer of the tectorial membrane.....	134
Figure 5.6	The deep craniocervical ligaments	138
Figure 5.7	The transverse ligament shown to attach into the medial aspect of the lateral atlantoaxial joint	140
Figure 5.8	The alar ligaments arising from the odontoid process.....	141

Figure 5.9	Band of posterior fibres of the alar ligament traversing from occiput to occiput with minimal attachment to the odontoid process	144
Figure 5.10	Transverse bands of the alar ligament	145
Figure 6.1a	Basion-axial interval.....	163
Figure 6.1b	Basion dental interval	163
Figure 6.2	Appearance of the alar ligaments on CT imaging	166
Figure 6.3	Coronal T1-weighted spin-echo MR image with arrows showing delineated and symmetrical alar ligaments oriented caudocranially.....	169
Figure 6.4	Coronal T1-weighted spin-echo MR image showing delineated asymmetrical alar ligaments oriented craniocaudally on the right and caudocranially on the left	169
Figure 6.5	Normal appearance of the alar ligaments on MRI.....	171
Figure 6.6	Axial CT section demonstrating the appearance of the transverse ligament.....	178
Figure 6.7	Transverse ligament arching around the dens showing low signal intensity and delineated borders.....	180
Figure 7.1	Course of the alar ligaments in coronal section.....	194
Figure 7.2	Attachment of the alar ligaments on to the odontoid process.....	195
Figure 7.3	Blending of the inferior fibres of the alar ligament into the medial aspect of the atlantooccipital joint	197
Figure 7.4	Calculation of the angle of orientation of the alar ligaments with respect to the midline of the odontoid process	198
Figure 7.5	Sagittal views demonstrating the alar ligaments in cross section..	202
Figure 7.6	The alar ligaments viewed in axial section.....	203
Figure 7.7	Fibres of the alar ligament blending into the medial aspect of the atlanto-occipital joint.....	204

Figure 7.8	Fibres of the alar ligaments traversing the odontoid process	205
Figure 7.9	Ascending cruciform ligament in sagittal view	208
Figure 7.10	The transverse ligament viewed in cross section in midline sagittal view.....	210
Figure 7.11	The transverse ligament in axial view	211
Figure 7.12	Layering of the tectorial membrane viewed in sagittal section	214
Figure 7.13	Axial view demonstrating the attachment of the tectorial membrane onto the posterior aspect of the vertebral body of the axis.....	216
Figure 7.14	The lateral aspects of the tectorial membrane demonstrating increased thickness in the antero-posterior dimension either side of the midline	216
Figure 7.15	Coronal view of alar ligaments with T2-weighted space spin echo sequence	219
Figure 7.16	Axial view of alar ligaments with T2-weighted space spin echo sequence	219
Figure 7.17	The alar ligaments in coronal view using a T1-weighted turbo spin echo sequence	222
Figure 7.18	The alar ligaments in axial view as seen using a proton density-weighted 2D turbo spin echo sequence	225
Figure 7.19	The transverse ligament in sagittal view using a T2 space 3D spin echo sequence	228
Figure 7.20	The transverse ligament in axial view using a T2 space 3D spin echo sequence	229
Figure 7.21	The transverse ligament of the atlas in axial view using a T1-weighted turbo spin echo sequence	230
Figure 7.22	The transverse ligament of the atlas in axial view using a T1-weighted turbo spin echo sequence	231
Figure 7.23	The transverse ligament in sagittal view using a Proton density-weighted 2D turbo spin echo sequence	233

Figure 7.24	The transverse ligament in axial view extending between the atlantal tubercles seen using a Proton density-weighted 2D turbo spin echo sequence.....	234
Figure 8.1	Right lateral views of flexion and extension of the atlanto-occipital joints	270
Figure 8.2	Instantaneous centre of motion of atlanto-occipital motion in the sagittal plane	272
Figure 8.3	Right lateral views of axial rotation of the atlanto-occipital joint.....	274
Figure 8.4	Lateral view of the right lateral atlantoaxial joint illustrating forward and backward displacement.	276
Figure 8.5	Atlantoaxial left rotation.....	278
Figure 8.6	Lateral bending from occiput to axis.....	280
Figure 8.7	Rotation from occiput to axis	282
Figure 8.8	Alar ligaments during occipito-atlanto-axial lateral flexion.....	292
Figure 8.9	Alar ligaments during occipito-atlanto-axial rotation	295
Figure 8.10	Caudal view of the modelling of rotation of the occiput around the odontoid process	298
Figure 9.1	The Sharp-Purser test	311
Figure 9.2	Alternate position for Sharp-Purser test	312
Figure 9.3	The anterior shear test	317
Figure 9.4	The palate sign.....	319
Figure 9.5	Side-bending stress test for the alar ligament.....	323
Figure 9.6	The rotation stress test for the alar ligament	325
Figure 9.7	The occipito-atlanto-axial rotation test.....	327
Figure 9.8	The lateral translation stress test.....	330
Figure 9.9	Distraction test for the tectorial membrane	332

Figure 9.10	Ventral horizontal translation between occiput-atlas-axis.....	335
Figure 10.1a	Subject positioned for testing in the MRI tunnel.....	341
Figure 10.1b	Subject position in standard neck coil for testing during imaging	341
Figure 10.2	Direct and indirect techniques to assess the effectiveness of the tests in tensioning the alar ligaments	346
Figure 10.3	Direct and indirect techniques to assess the anterior shear test....	347
Figure 10.4	The basion-dental interval comprising a line joining the basion to the closest point on the tip of the dens on lateral view.....	349
Figure 10.5	Direct and indirect measurement techniques for the tectorial membrane	350
Figure 10.6	The alar ligaments following imposition of the right side- bending stress test.....	354

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Characteristics of survey respondents	76
Table 3.2	Respondent clinical characteristics and background knowledge of craniovertebral instability.....	79
Table 3.3	Responses provided for definition of the term ‘instability’ in the upper cervical spine	80
Table 3.4	Detection of craniovertebral instability	82
Table 3.5	Self report of knowledge and use of craniovertebral stress tests....	85
Table 5.1	Characteristics of the cadaveric specimens	125
Table 5.2	Features of the superficial layer of the tectorial membrane	136
Table 5.3	Features of the deep layer of the tectorial membrane.....	136
Table 5.4	Features of the alar ligaments.....	146
Table 6.1	Summary of published MRI descriptions of the alar ligaments ...	172
Table 7.1	Characteristics of the cadaveric specimens examined using MRI.....	192
Table 7.2	Measurements and observations obtained from 4.6 tesla MRI examination of the alar ligaments in coronal view.....	199
Table 7.3	Measurements and observations obtained from 4.6 tesla MRI examination of the alar ligaments in axial view	206
Table 7.4	Measurements and observations obtained from 4.6 tesla MRI examination of the transverse ligaments in sagittal and axial views.....	212
Table 7.5	Measurements and observations of the alar ligaments obtained at 3-Tesla using T2 space 3D spin echo acquisition.....	220
Table 7.6	Measurements and observations of the alar ligaments obtained at 3-Tesla using T1 turbo spin echo acquisition.....	223
Table 7.7	Measurements and observations of the alar ligaments obtained at 3-Tesla acquired using a proton density-weighted 2D turbo spin echo sequence	226

Table 7.8	Measurements and observations for the transverse ligaments of each specimen obtained in sagittal section using all three acquisition sequences	235
Table 7.9	Measurements and observations for the transverse ligaments of each specimen obtained in axial section using all three acquisition sequences	236
Table 7.10	Measurements and observations for the tectorial membrane of each specimen obtained using all three acquisition sequences.....	239
Table 7.11	Comparison of the measurements of the alar ligaments taken from each specimen for each acquisition sequence.....	243
Table 7.12	Comparison of the measurements of the transverse ligaments taken from each specimen for each acquisition sequence	244
Table 7.13	Observations of the alar ligaments from dissection of the previously imaged specimens.....	247
Table 10.1	Indirect and direct methods measurements of ligament length for left and right alar ligaments	353
Table 10.2	Summary of findings following the examination of alar ligament stress testing.....	355
Table 10.3	Intraclass correlation coefficients for the left-right difference in alar ligament length estimates	356
Table 10.4	Assessment of the reliability of individual measurements of the transverse ligament and the tectorial membrane	359

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3D	three dimensional
AAD	atlantoaxial dislocation
AAS	atlantoaxial subluxation
ADI	atlantodental interval
A-P	anterior-posterior
BDI	basion dental interval
C1	first cervical vertebra
C2	second cervical vertebra
C3	third cervical vertebra
C6	sixth cervical vertebra
C7	seventh cervical vertebra
CI	confidence interval
CSA	cross sectional area
CT	computed tomography
CV	cervical vertebra
CVI	craniovertebral instability
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
FOV	field of view
ICC	intraclass correlation coefficient
mm	millimetres
MPA	Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia

MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
MVA	motor vehicle accident
NA	not available
O	occiput
PADI	posterior atlantodental interval
PAL	posterior axial line
PD	proton density
PG	post-graduate
PLL	posterior longitudinal ligament
PPIVM	passive physiological intervertebral movement
r	Pearson's correlation coefficient
SD	standard deviation
SE	spin echo
SLE	systemic lupus erythematosus
T	Tesla
TE	echo time
TR	repetition time
TSE	turbo spin echo
WAD	whiplash associated disorder

ABSTRACT

The work contained in this thesis encompasses four studies to examine the validity of clinical testing for clinical instability of the craniovertebral region. Validity was explored through the utilisation and exploration of the constructs of convention, biological plausibility and empirical proof.

Consensual validity for clinical testing was explored through a survey of knowledge and attitudes to instability testing in a nationwide survey involving 1528 Australian physiotherapists. Details of respondents' understanding of the concept of instability, potential clinical presentations of patients with segmental hypermobility of the upper cervical spine, knowledge of published clinical stress tests, attitudes toward performing these clinical tests and inclusion of craniovertebral testing procedures in clinical guidelines were all assessed. On the basis of the information returned, it appears that the level of knowledge and understanding of these disorders, their clinical presentation, assessment and their risk factors is low. Understanding of the clinical testing manoeuvres was also poor, with the majority of respondents never applying these tests clinically. Completion of post-graduate coursework in musculoskeletal physiotherapy clearly improved exposure to these concepts and tests in respondents, but did not significantly affect use of testing for screening prior to treatment of the upper cervical spine overall. Consensual validity for clinical testing of craniovertebral instability must be considered to be low based upon the absence of agreement of the existence, presentation and assessment of the disorder.

Biological plausibility of testing was explored through examination of the morphology of the ligaments of the craniovertebral region. Observations made during the dissection of 11 cadaveric specimens were mostly in accordance with descriptions of the anatomy upon which the clinical test procedures have been based. However, the tectorial membrane was observed to be a more complex structure than has previously been understood with its fibre arrangement suggesting a role as a potentially limiting structure to axial rotation of the upper cervical segments. The existence of the previously reported ‘atlantal’ portion of the alar ligaments was also challenged. It was not observed in any specimen examined and the presence of these bands of tissue in any individual should be considered an anatomical variant. Overall, the gross morphology of the craniovertebral ligaments observed being consistent with the basis of the clinical tests confers face validity on the testing procedures.

The biological basis for testing was further explored using magnetic resonance imaging of six specimens at high (4.6T) and clinical (3.0T) definition acquisitions. Observations were confirmed by dissection and the accuracy of measurements and observations assessed. Again, the gross morphology was consistent with the structural assumptions underpinning the clinical tests, thus enhancing their face validity. Clinical acquisitions were compared using three different sequences to assess the optimal acquisition sequence to be used in subsequent patient studies. Proton density-weighted sequences were found to be superior in identification, delineation and measurement of the ligaments of this region.

Empirical proof that clinical tests are capable of influencing the ligaments of this region was addressed in the final study. The upper cervical spines of 16 healthy volunteers were imaged using MRI in both neutral and end-range clinical test positions. Ligaments were assessed using both direct measurement and indirect estimates of bony displacement. Statistically significant changes in ligament dimension were demonstrated for the ligaments in all tests examined. Direct evidence that the ligament may be influenced in a predictable manner through the imposition of clinical tests provides a strong case for the establishment of construct validity for each of these described clinical tests.

Through utilising the three axioms of convention, biological plausibility and empirical proof, a number of aspects of the validity of clinically testing the craniovertebral region for instability have been assessed. Whilst the consensual validity of testing appears poor, the case for face validity and construct validity for the ligament stress tests is strong suggesting that further research is warranted which may now potentially involve individuals with demonstrable instabilities of this region.

PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM THE WORK IN THIS THESIS

Parts of the work presented in this thesis have been published and/or presented in the following forums:

PUBLISHED PAPERS

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA. (2011). The knowledge and use of craniovertebral instability testing by Australian physiotherapists. *Manual Therapy*. 16(4):357-363.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2012). The construct validity of clinical tests for alar ligament integrity. An evaluation using magnetic resonance imaging. *Physical Therapy*, 92(5):718-725.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2012). An examination of the anterior shear and distraction tests for craniocervical instability. An evaluation using magnetic resonance imaging. *Manual Therapy*, 17(5):416-421.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2013). Revisiting the clinical anatomy of the alar ligaments. *European Spine Journal*, 22(1): 60-64.

PUBLISHED ABSTRACTS

Osmotherly, PG, Rivett DA. (2005). Screening for craniovertebral instability; a new look at the evidence. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy*. 51(4): S17.

Osmotherly PG, Mercer SR, Rivett DA. (2007). The tectorial membrane; a multilayered structure. *Clinical Anatomy*. 20(5): 579.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2008). Physiotherapists' use of craniovertebral instability testing. A survey of members of Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy*. 54(1): S14-15

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR (2008). Transverse band of the alar ligament: a common anatomical variant. *Clinical Anatomy*. 21(3): 274.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2008). Craniovertebral anatomy and implications for clinical testing of ligamentous stability in the upper cervical spine. *Proceedings of 9th International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Osmotherly PG, Mercer SR and Rivett DA. (2008). The knowledge and use of craniovertebral instability testing by Australian physiotherapists. *Proceedings of 9th International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA and Mercer SR. (2008) Craniovertebral anatomy: new descriptions, lessons from history and implications for stability testing. *Proceedings of Australian Physiotherapy Association (New South Wales Branch) 2008 State Symposium*. Sydney. (Invited speaker).

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA and Mercer SR. (2009). Craniovertebral instability testing. Do the tests really reflect the anatomy? *Proceedings of The International Mulligan Concept Conference - Mobilization of Movement .The Science, The Evidence and the Art Scientific Conference*. Chicago, United States of America.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2009). High resolution MRI examination of the deep craniovertebral ligaments. *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy*.31:S77.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Cowin G, Mercer SR. (2009). Confirmatory examination the deep craniocervical ligaments using high resolution MRI. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy*.55(3):S31.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ, Mercer SR. (2010). Examination of the sidebending and rotation stress tests for alar ligament integrity using magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Manual and Manipulative Therapy*. 18(4):216.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2011). Are the alar ligaments effected by stress testing in the upper cervical spine? An examination using magnetic resonance imaging. *Proceedings of the Australian Physiotherapy Association Conference 2011*. Brisbane

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2012). The anterior shear and distraction tests for craniocervical ligament instability. An assessment of construct validity. *Proceedings of 10th International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists*. Quebec City, Canada.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2012). Interpreting the rotation stress test for the alar ligaments: What should be considered normal range? *Proceedings of 10th International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists*. Quebec City, Canada.

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS - ORAL

Osmotherly PG, Rivett, DR. (2005). Screening for craniovertebral instability; a new look at the evidence. *Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia 14th Biennial Conference*. Brisbane, Australia

Osmotherly PG, Mercer SR, Rivett DA. (2006). The tectorial membrane; a multilayered structure. *3rd Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australian and New Zealand Association of Clinical Anatomists*. La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2007). Physiotherapists' use of craniovertebral instability testing. A survey of members of Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia. *Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia 15th Biennial Conference*. Cairns. Australia.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2008). Craniovertebral anatomy and implications for clinical testing of ligamentous stability in the upper cervical spine. 9th *International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Osmotherly PG, Mercer SR, Rivett DA. (2008). The knowledge and use of craniovertebral instability testing by Australian physiotherapists. 9th *International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2008) Craniovertebral anatomy: new descriptions, lessons from history and implications for stability testing. *Australian Physiotherapy Association (New South Wales Branch) 2008 State Symposium*. Sydney, Australia. *(Invited speaker)*.

Osmotherly PG. (2009). Craniocervical stability testing; current research and clinical application. *Mini conference of combined Head and Neck, Shoulder, Arm Research group*

and the Cervical Overview Research group 2009. McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. (Invited speaker).

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2009). Craniovertebral instability testing. Do the tests really reflect the anatomy? *The Mulligan Concept - Mobilization of Movement. The Science, The Evidence and the Art Scientific Conference 2009.* Chicago, United States of America.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR. (2009). High resolution MRI examination of the deep craniovertebral ligaments. *10th Congress of the European Association of Clinical Anatomy.* Istanbul, Turkey.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Cowin G, Mercer SR. (2009). Confirmatory examination the deep craniocervical ligaments using high resolution MRI. *Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia 16th Biennial Conference.* Sydney.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ, Mercer SR. (2010). Examination of the sidebending and rotation stress tests for alar ligament integrity using magnetic resonance imaging. *American Academy of Orthopaedic Manipulative Physical Therapists Conference,* San Antonio, USA.

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2011). Are the alar ligaments effected by stress testing in the upper cervical spine? An examination using magnetic resonance imaging. *Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia 17th Biennial Conference. Brisbane.*

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2012). The anterior shear and distraction tests for craniocervical ligament instability. An assessment of construct validity. *Proceedings of 10th International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists. Quebec City, Canada.*

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Rowe LJ. (2012). Interpreting the rotation stress test for the alar ligaments: What should be considered normal range? *Proceedings of 10th International Conference of the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists. Quebec City, Canada.*

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS - POSTER

Osmotherly PG, Rivett DA, Mercer SR (2007). Transverse band of the alar ligament: a common anatomical variant. *4th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australian and New Zealand Association of Clinical Anatomists. University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to extend my sincere thanks and appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Darren Rivett for his guidance of the research and in the completion of this thesis.

I would also like to thank Associate Professor Susan Mercer, formerly of The University of Queensland, for her guidance and training in anatomical investigation, providing access to the anatomy facilities at The University of Queensland and her generosity during my frequent periods in Brisbane completing this work.

My appreciation to Dr Gary Cowin, Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland for his work on producing the magnetic resonance images of cadaveric specimens described in Chapter 7.

I would also like to express my gratitude to Conjoint Associate Professor Lindsay Rowe, Division of Radiology, Hunter New England Imaging, for facilitating access to magnetic resonance imaging facilities at John Hunter Hospital and providing expert knowledge on the acquisition, interpretation and measurement of images reported in Chapter 10.

Thank you to Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy Australia for distributing to their membership the knowledge and attitudes survey reported in Chapter 3.